

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/624,820	DUBE et al	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dionne A. Walls	1731	

All Participants:

(1) Dionne A. Walls.

(2) Ryan Cagle.

Date of Interview: 25 July 2003

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

45 and 57

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested permission to cancel the product claims of 45 and 57 to place the Application in condition for allowance, the method claims having been determined to contain novel subject matter. Applicant agreed and such is reflected in the attached Examiner's Amendment..